



KERALA GAZETTE
കേരള ഗസറ്റ്
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY
ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LII
വാല്യം 52

Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday
തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

4th September 2007

2007 സെപ്റ്റംബർ 4

13th Bhadra 1929

1929 ഭാദ്രം 13

No.

നമ്പർ

35

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department
Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1991/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Aruna Tourist Home Bar Attached Restaurant, Perumbavoor and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by The Secretary, Perumbavoor Range Madhya Vyvasaya Thoshilali Union (CITU), K.S.R.T.C Road, Perumbavoor in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the dismissal of service of K.O. Antony by the management of Aruna Tourist Home Bar Attached Restaurant is justifiable or not? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1992/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Foam Mattings India (Ltd.) Alappuzha, Pin-688 001 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri S. Saharanam Iyer, Villa No.33, Greenwoods, Padamughal, Indira Junction, Kakkanad West P.O., Kochi-30 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the termination from service of Sri S. Saharanam Iyer, Quality Control Superintendent (Villa No. 33, Green Woods, Padamughal, Indira Junction, Kakkanad West P.O., Kochi-30) Foam Mattings (India) Ltd., Alappuzha is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1993/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The General Manager, (Administration and Corporate Affairs), S.D.F. Industries Ltd., Pampady and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri G.H. Madhu, Chaniparambil House, Valiyapullara, Palluruthy, Kochi-6 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the dismissal from service of Sri G.H. Madhu from 1-3-2006 by the management of S.D.F. Industries Ltd., is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1994/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The General Manager, Hindustan Latex Ltd., P.B. No. 2, Peroorkada, Thiruvananthapuram and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. T. Radhamani, Kadappathala House, Kadappathala Nagar, East of Golf Club, Jawahar Nagar P.O., Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the termination of employment of Smt. T. Radhamani, Casual Worker by the Management of Hindustan Latex Ltd., Peroorkada is justifiable or not? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1995/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri K.Y. Varghese, Kottinad Agencies, (Kottinad Malayal) Kadampnad North P.O., Pathanamthitta and the workmen of the above referred establishment Smt. J. Lathikakumari, 'Karthika', Puthanampalam P. O., Iverkalapady North, Kollam District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Lathikakumari, J., Sales-cum-cashier by Employer Sri K. Y. Varghese, Kottinad Agencies, Kadampnad is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to?"

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1995/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Kanthimathy Amma, (Owner, Rekha Clay Products), Pazhery House, Kannambathoor, Chengaloor P.O., Puthukkad, Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri K. Nandanam, President, Thiruvananthapuram Tile Vyavasaya Thozhilali Union (TUCI), Reg. No. ALC/DESK-17/10004, Thekkarayil Plaza, Shornoor Road, Thiruvananthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to 10 workers, namely (1) T. V. Sukumaran, (2) P. K. Valsala, (3) M. O. Rosily, (4) M. N. Mallika, (5) C. A. Komalam, (6) P. K. Thankamani, (7) K. Prabhakaran Nair, (8) K.R. Sumathi, (9) P. A. Puzhpa and (10) A.M. Nirmala and subsequent closing down of Rekha Clay Products, Kannambathur, Chengaloor P. O., Thiruvananthapuram District by the Proprietress are justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to get?"

Issue No. 2. What is the quantum of bonus entitled to workers namely (1) T. V. Sukumaran, (2) P. K. Valsala, (3) M. O. Rosily, (4) M. N. Mallika, (5) C.A. Komalam, (6) P. K. Thankamani, (7) K. Prabhakaran Nair, (8) K.R. Sumathi, (9) P.A. Puzhpa and (10) A. M. Nirmala for the year 2004-05 from the Management of Rekha Clay Products, Kannambathur, P.O., Chengaloor, Thiruvananthapuram District.

By order of the Governor,
SUSY EAPEN,
Under Secretary to Government.